

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,461	08/26/2003	Robert Joseph Foster	PAT 729-2 US	2319
26123 7:	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			CRANE, DANIEL C	
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Las			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/647,461	FOSTER, ROBER	RT JOSEPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel C Crane	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply		AONTH(C) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the find will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel INTHS from the mailing date of this or ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	at received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies no	n received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/2003.	6) Other: _		- · · · · ·			

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (3,410,126). Figures 1 and 2 show two orthogonally positioned roller sets 15, 16, each roller set including at least two pairs of opposing rollers 45, 53. The rolls are driven by motor 17. The rolls are adjustable by threaded screws 66. Baker's tube straightening apparatus is directed to machines that have two groups of rolls where "one of the groups of rolls has the axes of the rolls horizontal while the other group of rolls has the axes of the rolls vertical so as to *insure* straightness of the tube or rod in two different planes. The last roll in each plane bends the material to its final degree of straightness." (Emphasis added, column 1, lines 26-31). As to claim 4, the resultant straightness of the tubing 65 will inherently facilitate "torsional applications". As to claim 5 and 6, the size of the roller relative to the work piece, i.e., tubing, is

not definitive of the roller structure since the work piece forms no part of the roller. Clearly, the size of the roller as it relates to the tubing size is limited by the operator's selection. Since the last roll in each plane bend the tubing to its "final" straightness, the tubing is "fully yielded" in each of the planes.

Claim 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Redman (4,663,955) in view of Baker (3,410,126). Redman shows it to be conventional in the art to straighten tubing by feeding tubing from a coil C to two orthogonally positioned roller sets 14, 20. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Redman's straightener's 14, 20 by arranging the roll sets so as to impart a final straightness to each plane of the tubing using the concepts taught by Baker so as to give the tubing its final straightness.

PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,461 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (703) 308-1870.

The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Allen Ostrager, can be reached at (703) 308-3136.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted directly to Group 3700 by

facsimile transmission at all times. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any

transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Group 3725

Facsimile Center number is (703) 872-9306.

DCCrane

September 13, 2004

Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3725